1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	ANTHONY MICHAEL TERRY,	CASE NO. C13-1326-MJP
11	Petitioner,	ORDER DISMISSING PETITION
12	v.	WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND DENYING ISSUANCE OF A
13	JEFFRY UTTECHT,	CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	The Court, having reviewed Petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2254 Petition for Writ of Habeas	
17	Corpus, Respondent's Answer, Petitioner's Reply, the Report and Recommendation of the	
18	Honorable Mary Alice Theiler, United States Magistrate Judge, Petitioner's objections, and the	
19	remaining record, finds and orders as follows:	
20	The Report and Recommendation is ADOPTED;	
21	2. Petitioner's § 2254 habeas petition is DISMISSED without prejudice to refiling once	
22	all claims have been fully exhausted in state court. "Before a federal court may	
23	consider the merits of a state prisoner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus, the	
24	prisoner generally must first exhaust his available state court remedies." <u>Smith v.</u>	

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3

24

Baldwin, 510 F.3d 1127, 1137-38 (9th Cir. 2007). Petitioner has not exhausted his available state court remedies in regard to his fifth claim in which he alleges a due-process violation based on the effect of cumulative trial errors. (Dkt. No. 19 at 1.) Petitioner states, "Cumulative error is not in itself a ground for relief, but merely a process used by the federal courts to evaluate the prejudice from several underlying constitutional violations." (Dkt. No. 20 at 2.) A claim of cumulative error "comes into play when no single trial error is, on its own, sufficiently prejudicial to warrant reversal[;]" it is a separate claim from the others he raises in his petition. See Wooten v. Kirkland, 540 F.3d 1019, 1025 (9th Cir. 2008). Petitioner has not exhausted his state remedies because he failed to bring a claim of cumulative error on appeal and failed to file a personal restraint petition. (Dkt. No. 19 at 2.) Until Petitioner has exhausted his available state remedies, this Court cannot hear his petition for habeas corpus. 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b).

- 3. Petitioner is DENIED issuance of a certificate of appealability; and
- 4. The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to the parties and to Judge Theiler. Dated February 12, 2014.

Marsha J. Pechman United States District Judge

Marshy Helens